

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,659	BRUEKERS, ALPHONS ANTONIUS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HO SHIU	2457	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) HO SHIU. (3) _____.
 (2) Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2010

Time: 3:30 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-3, 8-9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ho Ting Shiu/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2457

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was placed to applicant's attorney Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383 for a proposed examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Authorization was given by applicant's attorney Tom Kocovsky Reg # 28,383 on 02/19/2010.